

Extremal Projectors of q -Boson Algebras

NAKASHIMA Toshiki

Department of Mathematics,
Sophia University, Tokyo 102-8554, JAPAN
e-mail: toshiki@mm.sophia.ac.jp

Abstract

We define the extremal projector of the q -boson Kashiwara algebra $B_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and study their basic properties. Applying their properties to the representation theory of the category $\mathcal{O}(B_q(\mathfrak{g}))$, whose objects are "upper bounded" $B_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules, we obtain its semi-simplicity and the classification of simple modules.

1 Introduction

In [3], we studied the so-called q -boson Kashiwara algebra, in particular, a kind of the q -vertex operators and their 2 point functions. We found therein some interesting object Γ . But at that time we did not reveal its whole properties, as "Extremal Projectors". Tolstoy, V.N., *et.al.*, introduced the notion of "Extremal Projectors" for Lie (super)algebras and quantum (super) algebras, and made extensive study of their properties and applied it to the representation theory, (see [2],[6] and the references therein). In the present paper, we shall re-define the extremal projector for the q -boson algebras, clarify their properties and apply it to the representation theory of q -boson algebras.

To be more precise, let $\{e''_i, f_i, q^h \mid i \in I, h \in P^*\}$ be the generators of the q -boson algebra $B_q(\mathfrak{g})$. The extremal projector Γ is an element in $\widehat{B}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ (some completion of $B_q(\mathfrak{g})$) which satisfies the following;

$$e''_i \Gamma = \Gamma f_i = 0, \quad \Gamma^2 = \Gamma, \\ \sum_k a_k \Gamma b_k = 1,$$

for some $a_k \in B_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ and $b_k \in B_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ (see Theorem 5.2). Let $\mathcal{O}(B)$ be the category of 'upper bounded' $B_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules (see Sect 3). By using the above properties of Γ , we shall show that the category $\mathcal{O}(B)$ is semi-simple and classify its simple modules.

In [1], Kashiwara gave the projector P for q -boson algebra of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -case in order to define the crystal base of $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$. He uses it to show the semi-simplicity of $\mathcal{O}(B_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$. So our Γ is a generalizations of his projector P to arbitrary Kac-Moody algebras.

The organization of this article is as follows; In Sect.2, we review the definitions of the quantum algebras and the q -boson Kashiwara algebras and their properties. In Sect.3, we introduce the category of modules of the q -boson algebras $\mathcal{O}(B)$, which we treat in the sequel. In Sect.4, we review so-called Drinfeld Killing form and by using it we define some element $\mathcal{C}$ in the tensor product of q -boson algebras, which plays a significant role of studying extremal projectors. In Sect.5, we define extremal projectors for the q -boson algebras and involve

their important properties. In the last section, we apply it to show the semi-simplicity of the category $\mathcal{O}(B)$ and classify the simple modules in $\mathcal{O}(B)$. In [3] we gave the proof of its semisimplicity, but there was a quite big gap. Thus, the last section would be devoted to an erratum for it. We can find an elementary proof of the semi-simplicity of the category $\mathcal{O}(B)$ in *e.g.*[7].

The author would like to acknowledge Y.Koga for valuable discussions and A.N.Kirillov for introducing the papers [2],[5] to him.

2 Quantum algebras and q -boson Kashiwara algebras

We shall define the algebras playing a significant role in this paper. First, let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a symmetrizable Kac-Moody algebra over $\mathbf{Q}$ with a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{t}$, $\{\alpha_i \in \mathfrak{t}^*\}_{i \in I}$ the set of simple roots and $\{h_i \in \mathfrak{t}\}_{i \in I}$ the set of coroots, where I is a finite index set. We define an inner product on $\mathfrak{t}^*$ such that $(\alpha_i, \alpha_i) \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle = 2(\alpha_i, \lambda)/(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)$ for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*$. Set $Q = \oplus_i \mathbf{Z}\alpha_i$, $Q_+ = \oplus_i \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}\alpha_i$ and $Q_- = -Q_+$. We call Q a root lattice. Let P a lattice of $\mathfrak{t}^*$ *i.e.* a free $\mathbf{Z}$ -submodule of $\mathfrak{t}^*$ such that $\mathfrak{t}^* \cong \mathbf{Q} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} P$, and $P^* = \{h \in \mathfrak{t} \mid \langle h, P \rangle \subset \mathbf{Z}\}$. Now, we introduce the symbols $\{e_i, e_i'', f_i, f_i' (i \in I), q^h (h \in P^*)\}$. These symbols satisfy the following relations;

$$q^0 = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad q^h q^{h'} = q^{h+h'}, \quad (2.1)$$

$$q^h e_i q^{-h} = q^{\langle h, \alpha_i \rangle} e_i, \quad (2.2)$$

$$q^h e_i'' q^{-h} = q^{\langle h, \alpha_i \rangle} e_i'', \quad (2.3)$$

$$q^h f_i q^{-h} = q^{-\langle h, \alpha_i \rangle} f_i, \quad (2.4)$$

$$q^h f_i' q^{-h} = q^{-\langle h, \alpha_i \rangle} f_i', \quad (2.5)$$

$$[e_i, f_j] = \delta_{i,j} (t_i - t_i^{-1}) / (q_i - q_i^{-1}), \quad (2.6)$$

$$e_i'' f_j = q_i^{\langle h_i, \alpha_j \rangle} f_j e_i'' + \delta_{i,j}, \quad (2.7)$$

$$f_i' e_j = q_i^{\langle h_i, \alpha_j \rangle} e_j f_i' + \delta_{i,j}, \quad (2.8)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{1-\langle h_i, \alpha_j \rangle} (-1)^k X_i^{(k)} X_j X_i^{(1-\langle h_i, \alpha_j \rangle - k)} = 0, \quad (i \neq j), \quad (2.9)$$

$$\text{for } X_i = e_i, e_i'', f_i, f_i'.$$

where q is transcendental over $\mathbf{Q}$ and we set $q_i = q^{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)/2}$, $t_i = q_i^{h_i}$, $[n]_i = (q_i^n - q_i^{-n}) / (q_i - q_i^{-1})$, $[n]_i! = \prod_{k=1}^n [k]_i$ and $X_i^{(n)} = X_i^n / [n]_i!$.

Now, we define the algebras $B_q(\mathfrak{g})$, $\overline{B}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. The algebra $B_q(\mathfrak{g})$ (resp. $\overline{B}_q(\mathfrak{g})$) is an associative algebra generated by the symbols $\{e_i'', f_i\}_{i \in I}$ (resp. $\{e_i, f_i'\}_{i \in I}$) and $q^h (h \in P^*)$ with the defining relations (2.1), (2.3), (2.4), (2.7) and (2.9) (resp. (2.1), (2.2), (2.5), (2.8) and (2.9)) over $\mathbf{Q}(q)$. The algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is the usual quantum algebra generated by the symbols $\{e_i, f_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $q^h (h \in P^*)$ with the defining relations (2.1), (2.2), (2.4), (2.6) and (2.9) over $\mathbf{Q}(q)$. We shall call algebras $B_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\overline{B}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ the *q-boson Kashiwara algebras* ([K1]). Furthermore, we define their subalgebras

$$T = \langle q^h \mid h \in P^* \rangle = B_q(\mathfrak{g}) \cap \overline{B}_q(\mathfrak{g}) \cap U_q(\mathfrak{g}),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
B_q^\vee(\mathfrak{g}) \text{ (resp. } \overline{B}_q^\vee(\mathfrak{g})) &= \langle e_i'', f_i \text{ (resp. } e_i, f_i') | i \in I \rangle \subset B_q(\mathfrak{g}) \text{ (resp. } \overline{B}_q(\mathfrak{g})), \\
U_q^+(\mathfrak{g}) \text{ (resp. } U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})) &= \langle e_i \text{ (resp. } f_i) | i \in I \rangle =: \overline{B}_q^+(\mathfrak{g}) \text{ (resp. } B_q^-(\mathfrak{g})), \\
U_q^{\geq}(\mathfrak{g}) \text{ (resp. } U_q^{\leq}(\mathfrak{g})) &= \langle e_i \text{ (resp. } f_i), q^h | i \in I, h \in P^* \rangle, \\
B_q^+(\mathfrak{g}) \text{ (resp. } \overline{B}_q^-(\mathfrak{g})) &= \langle e_i'' \text{ (resp. } f_i') | i \in I \rangle \subset B_q^\vee(\mathfrak{g}) \text{ (resp. } \overline{B}_q^\vee(\mathfrak{g})), \\
B_q^{\geq}(\mathfrak{g}) \text{ (resp. } \overline{B}_q^{\leq}(\mathfrak{g})) &= \langle e_i'' \text{ (resp. } f_i'), q^h | i \in I, h \in P^* \rangle \subset B_q(\mathfrak{g}) \text{ (resp. } \overline{B}_q(\mathfrak{g})).
\end{aligned}$$

We shall use the abbreviated notations $U, B, \overline{B}, B^\vee, \dots$ for $U_q(\mathfrak{g}), B_q(\mathfrak{g}), \overline{B}_q(\mathfrak{g}), B_q^\vee(\mathfrak{g}), \dots$ if there is no confusion.

For $\beta = \sum m_i \alpha_i \in Q_+$ we set $|\beta| = \sum m_i$ and

$$U_{\pm\beta}^\pm = \{u \in U^\pm | q^h u q^{-h} = q^{\pm \langle h, \beta \rangle} u \text{ (} h \in P^*)\},$$

and call $|\beta|$ a height of β and U_β^+ (resp. $U_{-\beta}^-$) a weight space of U^+ (resp. U^-) with a weight β (resp. $-\beta$). We also define B_β^+ and $\overline{B}_{-\beta}^-$ by the similar manner.

Proposition 2.1 ([3]) (i) *We have the following algebra homomorphisms : $\Delta : U \longrightarrow U \otimes U$, $\Delta^{(r)} : B \longrightarrow B \otimes U$, $\Delta^{(l)} : \overline{B} \longrightarrow U \otimes \overline{B}$ and $\Delta^{(b)} : U \longrightarrow \overline{B} \otimes B$ given by*

$$\Delta(q^h) = \Delta^{(r)}(q^h) = \Delta^{(l)}(q^h) = \Delta^{(b)}(q^h) = q^h \otimes q^h, \quad (2.10)$$

$$\Delta(e_i) = e_i \otimes 1 + t_i \otimes e_i, \quad \Delta(f_i) = f_i \otimes t_i^{-1} + 1 \otimes f_i, \quad (2.11)$$

$$\Delta^{(r)}(e_i'') = (q_i - q_i^{-1}) \otimes t_i^{-1} e_i + e_i'' \otimes t_i^{-1}, \quad \Delta^{(r)}(f_i) = f_i \otimes t_i^{-1} + 1 \otimes f_i, \quad (2.12)$$

$$\Delta^{(l)}(e_i) = e_i \otimes 1 + t_i \otimes e_i, \quad \Delta^{(l)}(f_i') = (q_i - q_i^{-1}) t_i f_i \otimes 1 + t_i \otimes f_i', \quad (2.13)$$

$$\Delta^{(b)}(e_i) = t_i \otimes \frac{t_i e_i''}{q_i - q_i^{-1}} + e_i \otimes 1, \quad \Delta^{(b)}(f_i) = 1 \otimes f_i + \frac{t_i^{-1} f_i'}{q_i - q_i^{-1}} \otimes t_i^{-1}, \quad (2.14)$$

and extending these to the whole algebras by the rule: $\Delta(xy) = \Delta(x)\Delta(y)$ and $\Delta^{(i)}(xy) = \Delta^{(i)}(x)\Delta^{(i)}(y)$ ($i = r, l, b$).

(ii) *We have the following anti-isomorphisms $S : U \longrightarrow U$ and $\varphi : \overline{B} \longrightarrow B$ given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
S(e_i) &= -t_i^{-1} e_i, & S(f_i) &= -f_i t_i, & S(q^h) &= q^{-h} \\
\varphi(e_i) &= -\frac{1}{q_i - q_i^{-1}} e_i'', & \varphi(f_i') &= -(q_i - q_i^{-1}) f_i, & \varphi(q^h) &= q^{-h},
\end{aligned}$$

and extending these to the whole algebras by the rule: $S(xy) = S(y)S(x)$ and $\varphi(xy) = \varphi(y)\varphi(x)$. Here S is called a anti-pode of U . We also denote $\varphi|_{U^{\geq}} = \varphi|_{B^{\geq}}$ by φ .

We obtain the following triangular decomposition of the q -boson Kashiwara algebra;

Proposition 2.2 *The multiplication map defines an isomorphism of vector spaces:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
B_q^-(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes T \otimes B_q^+(\mathfrak{g}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & B_q(\mathfrak{g}) \\
u_1 \otimes u_2 \otimes u_3 & \mapsto & u_1 u_2 u_3.
\end{array}$$

Proof. By [1, (3.1.2)], we have

$$e_i''^n f_j^{(m)} = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^{\min(n,m)} q_i^{2nm+(n+m)i-i(i+1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} \min(n,m) \\ i \end{bmatrix} f_i^{(m-i)} e_i''^{n-i}, & \text{if } i = j, \\ q_i^{nm\langle h_i, \alpha_j \rangle} f_j^{(m)} e_i''^n, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By this formula and the standard argument, we can show the proposition. $\square$

We define weight completions of $L^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes L^{(m)}$, where $L^{(i)} = B$ or U . (See[T])

$$\widehat{L}^{(1)} \widehat{\otimes} \cdots \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{L}^{(m)} = \varprojlim_l L^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes L^{(m)} / (L^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes L^{(m)}) L^{+,l},$$

where $L^{+,l} = \oplus_{|\beta_1|+\cdots+|\beta_m|\geq l} L_{\beta_1}^{(1)+} \otimes \cdots \otimes L_{\beta_m}^{(m)+}$. (Note that $U \cong U^- \otimes T \otimes U^+$ and $B \cong B^- \otimes T \otimes B^+$.) The linear maps Δ , $\Delta^{(r)}$, S , φ , multiplication, *e.t.c.* are naturally extend for such completions.

3 Category $\mathcal{O}(B)$

Let $\mathcal{O}(B)$ be the category of left B -modules such that

- (i) Any object M has a weight space decomposition $M = \oplus_{\lambda \in P} M_\lambda$ where $M_\lambda = \{u \in M \mid q^h u = q^{\langle h, \lambda \rangle} u \text{ for any } h \in P^*\}$.
- (ii) For any element $u \in M$ there exists $l > 0$ such that $e_{i_1}'' e_{i_2}'' \cdots e_{i_l}'' u = 0$ for any $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l \in I$.

The similar category $\mathcal{O}(B^\vee)$ for $B_q(\mathfrak{g})^\vee$ is introduced in [1], which is defined with the above condition (ii). In [1], Kashiwara mentions that the category $\mathcal{O}(B^\vee)$ is semi-simple though he does not give an exact proof. Here we give a proof of the semi-simplicity of $\mathcal{O}(B)$ in Sect 6.

Here for $\lambda \in P$ we define the B -module $H(\lambda)$ by $H(\lambda) := B/I_\lambda$ where the left ideal I_λ is defined as

$$I_\lambda := \sum_i B e_i'' + \sum_{h \in P^*} B(q^h - q^{\langle h, \lambda \rangle}).$$

In Sect.6, we shall also show that $\{H(\lambda) \mid \lambda \in P\}$ is the isomorphism class of simple modules.

4 Bilinear forms and elements $\mathcal{C}$

Proposition 4.1 ([4],[5]) (i) *There exists the unique bilinear form*

$$\langle \ , \ \rangle : U^\geq \times U^\leq \longrightarrow \mathbf{Q}(q),$$

satisfying the following;

$$\langle x, y_1 y_2 \rangle = \langle \Delta(x), y_1 \otimes y_2 \rangle, \quad (x \in U^\geq, y_1, y_2 \in U^\leq),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle x_1 x_2, y \rangle &= \langle x_2 \otimes x_1, \Delta(y) \rangle, \quad (x_1, x_2 \in U^{\geq}, y \in U^{\leq}), \\
\langle q^h, q^{h'} \rangle &= q^{-(h|h')} \quad (h, h' \in P^*), \\
\langle T, f_i \rangle &= \langle e_i, T \rangle = 0, \\
\langle e_i, f_j \rangle &= \delta_{ij} / (q_i^{-1} - q_i),
\end{aligned}$$

where $(|)$ is an invariant bilinear form on $\mathfrak{t}$.

(ii) The bilinear form $\langle, \rangle$ enjoys the following properties;

$$\langle x q^h, y q^{h'} \rangle = q^{-(h|h')} \langle x, y \rangle, \quad \text{for } x \in U^{\geq}, y \in U^{\leq}, h, h' \in P^*, \quad (4.1)$$

$$\text{For any } \beta \in Q_+, \langle, \rangle|_{U_{\beta}^+ \times U_{-\beta}^-} \text{ is non-degenerate and } \langle U_{\gamma}^+, U_{-\delta}^- \rangle = 0, \text{ if } \gamma \neq \delta. \quad (4.2)$$

We call this bilinear form the Drinfeld-Killing form of U .

For $\beta = \sum_i m_i \alpha_i \in Q_+$ ($m_i \geq 0$), set $k_{\beta} := \prod_i t_i^{m_i}$, and let $\{x_r^{\beta}\}_r$ be a basis of U_{β}^+ and $\{y_r^{-\beta}\}_r$ be the dual basis of $U_{-\beta}^-$ with respect to the Drinfeld-Killing form. We denote the canonical element in $U_{\beta}^+ \otimes U_{-\beta}^-$ with respect to the Drinfeld-Killing form by

$$C_{\beta} := \sum_r x_r^{\beta} \otimes y_r^{-\beta}.$$

We set

$$\mathcal{C} := \sum_{\beta \in Q_+} (1 \otimes k_{\beta}^{-1})(1 \otimes S^{-1})(C_{\beta}) \in U^+ \widehat{\otimes} U^- = U^+ \widehat{\otimes} B^-. \quad (4.3)$$

The element $\mathcal{C}$ satisfies the following relations:

Proposition 4.2 (i) For any $i \in I$, we have

$$(t_i^{-1} \otimes e_i'') \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C} (t_i^{-1} \otimes e_i'' + (q_i - q_i^{-1}) t_i^{-1} e_i \otimes 1), \quad (4.4)$$

$$(f_i \otimes t_i^{-1} + 1 \otimes f_i)(\varphi \otimes 1(\mathcal{C})) = (\varphi \otimes 1(\mathcal{C}))(f_i \otimes t_i^{-1}). \quad (4.5)$$

Here note that (4.4) is the equation in $U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \widehat{\otimes} B_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and (4.5) is the equation in $B_q(\mathfrak{g}) \widehat{\otimes} B_q(\mathfrak{g})$.

(ii) The element $\mathcal{C}$ is invertible and the inverse is given as

$$\mathcal{C}^{-1} = \sum_{\beta \in Q_+} q^{-(\beta, \beta)} (k_{\beta} \otimes k_{\beta}^{-1}) (S^{-1} \otimes S^{-1})(C_{\beta}) \quad (4.6)$$

Proof. The proof of (4.5) has been given in [3, 6.2]. Thus, let us show (4.4). For that purpose, we need the following lemma;

Lemma 4.3 For $\beta \in Q_+$, let $C_{\beta} = \sum_r x_r^{\beta} \otimes y_r^{\beta}$ be the canonical element in $U_{\beta}^+ \otimes U_{-\beta}^-$ as above and set $C'_{\beta} := (1 \otimes S^{-1})(C_{\beta})$. Then for any $\beta \in Q_+$ and $i \in I$, we have

$$[t_i^{-1} \otimes e_i'', (1 \otimes k_{\beta+\alpha_i}^{-1})(C'_{\beta+\alpha_i})] = (1 \otimes k_{\beta}^{-1})(C'_{\beta})(t_i^{-1} e_i \otimes (q_i - q_i^{-1})) \in U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes B_q(\mathfrak{g}), \quad (4.7)$$

where we use the identification $B_q^{-}(\mathfrak{g}) = U_q^{-}(\mathfrak{g})$.

Proof. Applying $\langle \cdot, z \rangle \otimes 1$ on the both sides of (4.7) where $z \in U_{-\beta-\alpha_i}^-$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(\langle \cdot, z \rangle \otimes 1)(\text{L.H.S. of (4.7)}) &= \sum_r \langle t_i^{-1} x_r^{\beta+\alpha_i}, z \rangle \otimes e_i'' k_{\beta+\alpha_i}^{-1} S^{-1}(y_r^{-\beta-\alpha_i}) \\
&\quad - \langle x_r^{\beta+\alpha_i} t_i^{-1}, z \rangle \otimes k_{\beta+\alpha_i}^{-1} S^{-1}(y_r^{-\beta-\alpha_i}) e_i'' \\
&= q^{-(\alpha_i, \beta+\alpha_i)} e_i'' k_{\beta+\alpha_i}^{-1} S^{-1}(z) - k_{\beta+\alpha_i}^{-1} S^{-1}(z) e_i'' \\
&= k_{\beta+\alpha_i}^{-1} (e_i'' S^{-1}(z) - S^{-1}(z) e_i'').
\end{aligned}$$

$$(\langle \cdot, z \rangle \otimes 1)(\text{R.H.S. of (4.7)}) = \sum_r \langle x_r^{\beta} t_i^{-1} e_i, z \rangle \otimes (q_i - q_i^{-1}) k_{\beta}^{-1} S^{-1}(y_r^{-\beta}) \quad (4.8)$$

For $z \in U_{-\beta-\alpha_i}^-$ we can define $v \in U_{-\beta}^-$ uniquely by

$$\Delta(z) = 1 \otimes z + f_i \otimes v t_i^{-1} + \dots$$

By the property of the Drinfeld Killing form, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle x_r^{\beta} t_i^{-1} e_i, z \rangle &= \langle e_i \otimes x_r^{\beta} t_i^{-1}, \Delta(z) \rangle \\
&= \langle e_i \otimes x_r^{\beta} t_i^{-1}, 1 \otimes z + f_i \otimes v t_i^{-1} + \dots \rangle \\
&= \langle e_i, f_i \rangle \langle x_r^{\beta} t_i^{-1}, v t_i^{-1} \rangle \\
&= \frac{q_i^{-2}}{q_i^{-1} - q_i} \langle x_r^{\beta}, v \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\text{R.H.S. of (4.8)} = -q_i^{-2} k_{\beta}^{-1} S^{-1}(v) \quad (4.9)$$

Here in order to complete the proof of Lemma 4.3, let us show;

$$e_i'' S^{-1}(z) - S^{-1}(z) e_i'' = -q_i^{-2} t_i S^{-1}(v). \quad (4.10)$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that z is in the form $z = f_{i_1} f_{i_2} \cdots f_{i_k} \in U_{-\beta-\alpha_i}^-$ ($\beta + \alpha_i = \alpha_{i_1} + \cdots + \alpha_{i_k}$). For $\beta = \sum_j m_j \alpha_j$, we shall show by the induction on m_i for fixed $i \in I$.

If $m_i = 0$, z is in the form $z = z' f_i z''$ where z' and z'' are monomials of f_j 's not including f_i . By $S^{-1}(f_j) = -t_j f_j$ and $e_i''(t_j f_j) = (t_j f_j) e_i''$ ($i \neq j$) we have

$$e_i'' S^{-1}(z') = S^{-1}(z') e_i'', \quad e_i'' S^{-1}(z'') = S^{-1}(z'') e_i''. \quad (4.11)$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
e_i'' S^{-1}(z) &= S^{-1}(z'') (-e_i'' t_i f_i) S^{-1}(z') = S^{-1}(z'') (-t_i f_i e_i'' - q_i^{-2} t_i) S^{-1}(z') \\
&= S^{-1}(z'') (-t_i f_i) S^{-1}(z') e_i'' - q_i^{-2} S^{-1}(z'') t_i S^{-1}(z') \\
&= S^{-1}(z'') S^{-1}(f_i) S^{-1}(z') e_i'' - q^{(\beta'' - \alpha_i, \alpha_i)} t_i S^{-1}(z' z''),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\beta'' = wt(z'')$. Therefore, for $m_i = 0$, we have

$$\text{L.H.S. of (4.10)} = -q^{(\beta'' - \alpha_i, \alpha_i)} t_i S^{-1}(z' z'').$$

In the case $m_i = 0$ we can easily obtain $v = q^{(\beta'', \alpha_i)} z' z''$ and then

$$\text{R.H.S. of (4.10)} = -q^{(\beta'' - \alpha_i, \alpha_i)} t_i S^{-1}(z' z'') = \text{L.H.S. of (4.10)}$$

Thus, the case $m_i = 0$ has been shown.

Suppose that $m_i > 0$. we divide $z = z' z''$ such that $m'_i < m_i$ and $m''_i < m_i$ where m'_i (resp. m''_i) is the number of f_i including in z' (resp. z''). Writing

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(z') &= 1 \otimes z' + f_i \otimes v' t_i^{-1} + \cdots, \\ \Delta(z'') &= 1 \otimes z'' + f_i \otimes v'' t_i^{-1} + \cdots,\end{aligned}$$

and calculating $\Delta(z' z'')$ directly, we obtain

$$v = z' v'' + q^{(\beta'', \alpha_i)} v' z''. \quad (4.12)$$

By the hypothesis of the induction,

$$\begin{aligned}e''_i S^{-1}(z) &= e''_i S^{-1}(z'') S^{-1}(z') = (S^{-1}(z'') e''_i - q_i^{-2} t_i S^{-1}(v'')) S^{-1}(z') \\ &= S^{-1}(z'') e''_i S^{-1}(z') - q_i^{-2} t_i S^{-1}(z' v'') \\ &= S^{-1}(z'') (S^{-1}(z') e''_i - q_i^{-2} t_i S^{-1}(v')) - q_i^{-2} t_i S^{-1}(z' v'') \\ &= S^{-1}(z' z'') e''_i - q_i^{-2} t_i (S^{-1}(z' v'') + q^{(\beta'', \alpha_i)} S^{-1}(v' z'')) \\ &= S^{-1}(z) e''_i - q_i^{-2} t_i S^{-1}(v).\end{aligned}$$

Note that in the last equality, we use (4.12). Now, we have completed to show Lemma 4.3. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 4.2. If $\beta \in Q_+$ does not include α_i , since e''_i and $S^{-1}(z)$ ($z \in U_{-\beta}^-$) commute with each other by (4.11), we have

$$(t_i^{-1} \otimes e''_i)(1 \otimes k_\beta^{-1})(C'_\beta) = (1 \otimes k_\beta^{-1})(C'_\beta)(t_i^{-1} \otimes e''_i).$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(t_i^{-1} \otimes e''_i) \mathcal{C} &- \mathcal{C}(t_i^{-1} \otimes e''_i) \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in Q_+} (t_i^{-1} \otimes e''_i)(1 \otimes k_\gamma^{-1})(C'_\gamma) - (1 \otimes k_\gamma^{-1})(C'_\gamma)(t_i^{-1} \otimes e''_i) \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in Q_+} (t_i^{-1} \otimes e''_i)(1 \otimes k_{\beta+\alpha_i}^{-1})(C'_{\beta+\alpha_i}) - (1 \otimes k_{\beta+\alpha_i}^{-1})(C'_{\beta+\alpha_i})(t_i^{-1} \otimes e''_i) \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in Q_+} [t_i^{-1} \otimes e''_i, (1 \otimes k_{\beta+\alpha_i}^{-1})(C'_{\beta+\alpha_i})] \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in Q_+} (1 \otimes k_\beta^{-1})(C'_\beta)((q_i - q_i^{-1}) t_i^{-1} e_i \otimes 1) \quad (\text{by Lemma 4.3}) \\ &= \mathcal{C}((q_i - q_i^{-1}) t_i^{-1} e_i \otimes 1).\end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain (4.4).

Next, let us show (ii). Set $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} := \sum q^{(\beta, \beta)} (1 \otimes k_\beta)(S \otimes 1)(C_\beta)$. By [5, Sect.4], we have $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^{-1} := \sum q^{(\beta, \beta)} (k_\beta^{-1} \otimes k_\beta)(C_\beta)$. Here note that

$$(S^{-1} \otimes S^{-1})(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}) = \sum q^{(\beta, \beta)} (1 \otimes S^{-1})\{(1 \otimes k_\beta)(C_\beta)\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum q^{(\beta, \beta)} \{(1 \otimes S^{-1})(C_\beta)\} (1 \otimes k_\beta^{-1}) \\
&= \sum (1 \otimes k_\beta^{-1}) (1 \otimes S^{-1})(C_\beta) \\
&= \mathcal{C}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}^{-1} &= (S^{-1} \otimes S^{-1})(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^{-1}) \\
&= \sum q^{(\beta, \beta)} \{(S^{-1} \otimes S^{-1})(C_\beta)\} (k_\beta \otimes k_\beta^{-1}) \\
&= \sum q^{-(\beta, \beta)} (k_\beta \otimes k_\beta^{-1}) (S^{-1} \otimes S^{-1})(C_\beta),
\end{aligned}$$

and completed the proof of Proposition 4.2. $\square$

Remark. By the explicit form of $\mathcal{C}^{-1}$ in (4.6), we find that $\mathcal{C}^{-1} \in U_q^+(\mathfrak{g}) \hat{\otimes} U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}) = U_q^+(\mathfrak{g}) \hat{\otimes} B_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$.

5 Extremal Projectors

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be as in Sect.4. We define the *extremal projector* of $B_q(\mathfrak{g})$ by

$$\Gamma := m \circ \sigma \circ (\varphi \otimes 1)(\mathcal{C}) = \sum_{\beta \in Q_+, r} k_\beta^{-1} S^{-1}(y_r^{-\beta}) \varphi(x_r^\beta), \quad (5.1)$$

where $m : a \otimes b \mapsto ab$ is the multiplication and $\sigma : a \otimes b \mapsto b \otimes a$ is the permutation.

Here note that Γ is a well-defined element in $\hat{B}_q(\mathfrak{g})$.

Example 5.1 ([1, 3]) *In $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -case, the following is the explicit form of Γ .*

$$\Gamma = \sum_{n \geq 0} q^{\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)} (-1)^n f^{(n)} e''^n.$$

Theorem 5.2 *The extremal projector Γ enjoys the following properties:*

$$(i) \quad e_i'' \Gamma = 0, \quad \Gamma f_i = 0 \quad (\forall i \in I).$$

$$(ii) \quad \Gamma^2 = \Gamma.$$

(iii) *There exists $a_k \in B_q^-(\mathfrak{g}) (= U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}))$, $b_k \in B_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ such that*

$$\sum_k a_k \Gamma b_k = 1.$$

(iv) *Γ is a well-defined element in $\hat{B}_q^\vee(\mathfrak{g})$.*

Proof. It is easy to see (iv) by the explicit forms of the anti-pode S , the anti-isomorphism φ and Γ in (5.1). The statement (ii) is an immediate consequence of (i). So let us show (i) and (iii). The formula $\Gamma f_i = 0$ has been shown in

[3]. Thus, we shall show $e_i''\Gamma = 0$. Here let us write $\mathcal{C} = \sum_k c_k \otimes d_k$, where $c_k \in U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ and $d_k \in B_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$. Thus, we have

$$\Gamma = \sum_k d_k \varphi(c_k).$$

The equation (4.4) can be written as follows;

$$\sum_k t_i^{-1} c_k \otimes e_i'' d_k = \sum_k c_k t_i^{-1} \otimes d_k e_i'' + (q_i - q_i^{-1}) c_k t_i^{-1} e_i \otimes d_k. \quad (5.2)$$

Applying $m \circ \sigma \circ (\varphi \otimes 1)$ on the both sides of (5.2), we get

$$\sum_k e_i'' d_k \varphi(c_k) t_i = \sum_k d_k e_i'' t_i \varphi(c_k) - \sum_k d_k e_i'' t_i \varphi(c_k) = 0,$$

and then $e_i'' \Gamma t_i = 0$, which implies the desired result since t_i is invertible.

Next, let us see (iii). By the remark in the last section, we can write

$$\mathcal{C}^{-1} = \sum_k b'_k \otimes a_k \in U_q^+(\mathfrak{g}) \widehat{\otimes} B_q^-(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Then,

$$1 \otimes 1 = \sum_{j,k} b'_k c_j \otimes a_k d_j. \quad (5.3)$$

Applying $m \circ \sigma \circ (\varphi \otimes 1)$ on the both sides of (5.3), we obtain

$$1 = \sum_{j,k} a_k d_j \varphi(c_j) \varphi(b'_k) = \sum_k a_k \Gamma \varphi(b'_k).$$

Here setting $b_k := \varphi(b'_k)$, we get (iii). $\square$

6 Representation Theory of $\mathcal{O}(B)$

As an application of the extremal projector Γ , we shall show the following theorem;

Theorem 6.1 (i) *The category $\mathcal{O}(B)$ is a semi-simple category.*

(ii) *The module $H(\lambda)$ is a simple object of $\mathcal{O}(B)$ and for any simple object M in $\mathcal{O}(B)$ there exists some $\lambda \in P$ such that $M \cong H(\lambda)$. Furthermore, $H(\lambda)$ is a rank one free $B_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ -module.*

In order to show this theorem, we need to prepare several things.

For an object M in $\mathcal{O}(B)$, set

$$K(M) := \{v \in M \mid e_i'' v = 0 \text{ for any } i \in I\}.$$

Lemma 6.2 *For an object M in $\mathcal{O}(B)$, we have*

$$\Gamma \cdot M = K(M) \quad (6.1)$$

Proof. By Theorem 5.2(i), we have $e_i''\Gamma = 0$ for any $i \in I$. Thus, it is trivial to see that $\Gamma \cdot M \subset K(M)$. Owing to the explicit form of Γ , we find that

$$1 - \Gamma \in \sum_i \widehat{B}_q(\mathfrak{g})e_i''.$$

Therefore, for any $v \in K(M)$ we get $(1 - \Gamma)v = 0$, which implies that $\Gamma \cdot M \supset K(M)$. $\square$

Lemma 6.3 *For an object M in $\mathcal{O}(B)$, we have*

$$M = B_q^-(\mathfrak{g}) \cdot (K(M)) \quad (6.2)$$

Proof. By Theorem 5.2(iii), we have $1 = \sum_k a_k \Gamma b_k$ ($a_k \in B_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$, $b_k \in B_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$). For any $u \in M$,

$$u = \sum_k a_k (\Gamma b_k u).$$

By Lemma 6.1, we have $\Gamma b_k u \in K(M)$. Then we obtain the desired result. $\square$

Proposition 6.4 *For an object M in $\mathcal{O}(B)$, we have*

$$M = K(M) \oplus \left(\sum_i \text{Im}(f_i) \right). \quad (6.3)$$

Proof. By (6.2), we get

$$M = K(M) + \left(\sum_i \text{Im}(f_i) \right).$$

Thus, it is sufficient to show

$$K(M) \cap \left(\sum_i \text{Im}(f_i) \right) = \{0\}. \quad (6.4)$$

Let u be a vector in $K(M) \cap \left(\sum_i \text{Im}(f_i) \right)$. Since $u \in \sum_i \text{Im}(f_i)$, there exist $\{u_i \in M\}_{i \in I}$ such that $u = \sum_{i \in I} f_i u_i$. By the argument in the proof of Lemma 6.2, we have $\Gamma u = u$ for $u \in K(M)$. It follows from Theorem 5.2(i) that

$$u = \Gamma u = \sum_{i \in I} (\Gamma f_i) u_i = 0,$$

which implies (6.4). $\square$

Lemma 6.5 *If $u, v \in M$ (M is an object in $\mathcal{O}(B)$) satisfies $v = \Gamma u$, then there exists $P \in B_q(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $v = Pu$.*

Proof. By the definition of the category of $\mathcal{O}(B)$, there exists $l > 0$ such that $\varphi(x_r^\beta)u = 0$ for any r and β with $|\beta| > l$. Thus, by the explicit form of Γ in (5.1), we can write

$$v = \Gamma u = \left(\sum_{|\beta| \leq l, r} k_\beta^{-1} S^{-1}(y_r^{-\beta}) \varphi(x_r^\beta) \right) u,$$

which implies our desired result. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 6.1. Let $L \subset M$ be objects in the category $\mathcal{O}(B)$. We shall show that there exists a submodule $N \subset M$ such that $M = L \oplus N$.

Since $K(M)$ (resp. $K(L)$) is invariant by the action of any q^h , we have the weight space decomposition;

$$K(M) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P} K(M)_\lambda \quad (\text{resp. } K(L) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P} K(L)_\lambda).$$

There exist subspaces $N_\lambda \subset K(M)_\lambda$ such that $K(M)_\lambda = K(L)_\lambda \oplus N_\lambda$, which is a decomposition of a vector space. Here set $N := \bigoplus_{\lambda} N_\lambda$. We have

$$K(M) = K(L) \oplus N.$$

Let us show

$$M = L \oplus B_q(\mathfrak{g}) \cdot N. \quad (6.5)$$

Since $M = B_q(\mathfrak{g}) \cdot (K(M)) = B_q(\mathfrak{g}) \cdot (K(L) \oplus N)$, we get $M = L + B_q(\mathfrak{g}) \cdot N$. Let us show

$$L \cap B_q(\mathfrak{g}) \cdot N = \{0\}. \quad (6.6)$$

For $v \in L \cap B_q(\mathfrak{g}) \cdot N$ we have by Theorem 5.2 (iii),

$$v = \sum_k a_k (\Gamma_k v).$$

It follows from $v \in L$ that $\Gamma b_k v \in K(L)$, and from $v \in B_q(\mathfrak{g}) \cdot N$ that $\Gamma b_k v \in \Gamma(B_q(\mathfrak{g}) \cdot N) = N$. These imply

$$\Gamma b_k v \in K(L) \cap N = \{0\}.$$

Hence we get $v = 0$ and then (6.5).

Next, let us show (ii). As an immediate consequence of Proposition 2.2 we can see that $H(\lambda)$ is a rank one free $B_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ -module.

Let $\pi_\lambda : B_q(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow H(\lambda)$ be the canonical projection and set $u_\lambda := \pi_\lambda(1)$. Here we have

$$H(\lambda) = B_q^-(\mathfrak{g}) \cdot u_\lambda = \mathbf{Q}(q)u_\lambda + \sum_i \text{Im}(f_i).$$

It follows from this, Proposition 6.4 and $\mathbf{Q}(q)u_\lambda \subset K(H(\lambda))$ that $H(\lambda) = \mathbf{Q}(q)u_\lambda \oplus \sum_i \text{Im}(f_i)$ and then

$$\Gamma \cdot H(\lambda) = K(H(\lambda)) = \mathbf{Q}(q)u_\lambda. \quad (6.7)$$

In order to show the irreducibility of $H(\lambda)$, it is sufficient to see that for arbitrary $u (\neq 0)$, $v \in H(\lambda)$ there exists $P \in B_q(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $v = Pu$. Set $v = Qu_\lambda$ ($Q \in B_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$). By Theorem 5.2 (iii), we have

$$u = \sum_k a_k (\Gamma b_k u) \neq 0.$$

Then, for some k we have $\Gamma b_k u \neq 0$, which implies that $c\Gamma b_k u = u_\lambda$ for some non-zero scalar c . Therefore, by Lemma 6.5, there exists some $R \in B_q(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $u_\lambda = Ru$ and then we have

$$v = Qu_\lambda = QRu.$$

Thus, $H(\lambda)$ is a simple module in $\mathcal{O}(B)$.

Suppose that L is a simple module in $\mathcal{O}(B)$. First, let us show

$$\dim(K(L)) = 1. \tag{6.8}$$

For $x, y (\neq 0) \in K(L)$, there exists $P \in B_q(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $y = Px$. Since $x \in K(L)$, we can take $P \in B_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$. Because $y \in K(L)$ and $K(L) \cap \sum_i \text{Im}(f_i) = \{0\}$, we find that P must be a scalar, say c . Thus, we have $y = cx$, which derives (6.8).

Let u_0 be a basis vector in $K(L)$. The space $K(L)$ is invariant by the action of any q^h and then, $u_0 \in L_\lambda$ for some $\lambda \in P$. Therefore, since $H(\lambda)$ is a rank one free $B_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ -module, the map

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_\lambda &: H(\lambda) \longrightarrow L \\ Pu_\lambda &\mapsto Pu_0, \quad (P \in B_q^-(\mathfrak{g})), \end{aligned}$$

is a well-defined non-trivial homomorphism of $B_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules. Thus, by Schur's lemma, we obtain $H(\lambda) \cong L$. $\square$

References

- [1] Kashiwara M., On crystal bases of the q -analogue of universal enveloping algebras, *Duke Math.J.*, **63**, 465–516, (1991).
- [2] Khoroshkin S.M. and Tolstoy V.N., Extremal projector and universal R -matrix for quantized contragredient Lie (super) algebras, *Quantum Groups and related topics*, edited by Gielerak et al, 23–32, (1992).
- [3] Nakashima T., Quantum R -matrix and Intertwiners for the Kashiwara algebras, *Commun.Math.Phys.*, **164**, 239–258, (1994).
- [4] Rosso, M., Analogues de la forme de Killing et du théorème d'Harish-Chandra pour les groupes quantiques, *Ann.scient.Éc.Norm. Sup.* **23** (1990) 445–467.
- [5] Tanisaki T, Killing forms, Harish-Chandra isomorphisms, and universal R -matrices for quantum algebras, *Int.J.Mod.Phys. A7 Suppl. 1B* (1992) 941–961.
- [6] Tolstoy V.N., Extremal projectors for Quantized Kac-Moody superalgebras and some of their applications, *Quantum Groups(Clausthal, 1989)*, Lecture Notes in Physics **370**, Springer, Berlin, (1990), 118–125.
- [7] Tan Y., The q -analogue of bosons and Hall algebras, preprint.